SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

PROBATION OFFICER'S REPORT

| | | REPORT SEQ | UENCE NO. | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| DEFENDANT'S NAME(S) | COURT | JUDGE | COURT CASE NO. | |
| MARTIN CASTANEDA | EAST-M | CIANCHETTI. | KA005779 | |
| A V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V | HEARING DATE | DEFENSE ATTY. | PROSECUTOR | |
| ADDRESS (PRESENTATION AVENUE AZUSA, CA 91702 (818/334-4252) | 11-19-90 | MAC BRIDE/ | HARRIS | |
| BIRTHDATE 00 AGE SEX RACE | DPO | AREA OFFICE | PHONE NO. | |
| 4-20-687 28 MALE HISPANIC | CRYER | PV | 620-3165 | |
| CITIZENSHIP STATUS NATIVE DRIVER'S LICENSE/EXP. DATE V9134412/FEE REQ. | | | | |
| PROBATION NO. CII NO. BOOKING NO. | TYPE REPORT —— Probation a | and contange | | |
| x- A06433264 2232457 | | ion (131.3 CCP) | | |
| DAYS IN JAIL THIS CASE CUSTODY STATUS/RELEASE DATE | Post senter | • | | |
| XX ESTIMATED □ VERIFIED 41 DAYS LACO JAIL | Diversion (S | | | |
| PRESENT OFFENSE: LEGAL HISTORY | | | | |
| CHARGED with the crimes of (INCLUDE PRIORS, ENHANCEMEN | and the second s | | | |
| COUNT I: 664/187(A) PC (ATTEMPTED-WI WITH SPECIAL ALLEGATIONS OF 12022.5(A | LLFUL, DELIBE D PC; 12022.7 | RATE, PREMEDI PC; 1203.060 | ITATED MURDER) (A)(1) PC | |
| | 9 | • | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CONVICTED of the crimes of (INCLUDE PRIORS, ENHANCEMEN | TS OR SPECIAL CIRCUMS | STANCES) | | |
| REFERRED FOR PRE-PLEA REPORT | • | | | |
| NET ENNES TON TIME THE THE THE THE | | • | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CONVICTED BY DATE OF CONVICTION (DEFENDAL COLIN | IT(S) CONTINUED TO P & | S FOR DISPOSITION | | |
| | | | | |
| N/A 11-6-90 N/ PROPOSED PLEA AGREEMENT | Γ | SOURCES OF INFO | ORMATION | |
| NONE REPORTED * N/A | | | | |
| DATE(S) OF OFFENSE | TIME(S) 8:41 | IP.M. | | |
| DEFENDANT: ☑ N/A ☐ SENTENCED TO STATE | PRISON/COUNTY JAIL O | N CASE | HOLD/WARRANTS: | |
| (SEE PRIOR ON PROBATION PENDING PROBATION V | IOLATION PENDING | NEW CASE | YES NO | |
| SECTION) ON PAROLE-REMAINING TIME | | | | |
| | · | | | |
| RECOMMENDATION: | | | • | |
| ☐ PROBATION ★ DENIAL ☐ DIAGNOSTIC S | | OTHER | | |
| ☐ COUNTY JAIL ☐ 707.2 WIC | | • | | |
| AM STATE PRISON II 1203.03 P | • | | | |

PRESENT OFFENSE: (CONTINUED)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (this page)

D.A. FILE, ARREST REPORT

| ARREST DATE | TIME | BOOKED AS | OFFENSE | LOCATION OF ARREST | ARRESTING AGENCY |
|-------------|---------|-----------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| 10-9-90 | UNKNOWN | , | 664/187 PC (ATTEMPTED MURDER) | 934 N. SAN GABRIEL AVENUE, AZUSA, CA | AZUSA PD |
| | | | | | |

| | CO-DEFENDANT(S) | | CASE NO. | DISPOSITION | |
|---|-----------------|-----|----------|-------------|---|
| ı | NONE - | | | | : |
| | | · · | | | |
| 1 | | | | | - |

ELEMENTS AND RELEVANT CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENSE:

COUNT I:

IT IS ALLEGED THAT THE DEFENDANT, UPSET AND AFTER HAVING A PHYSICAL ALTERCATION WITH THE VICTIM'S BROTHER - JORGE CARREON ON THE PREVIOUS DAY, CONFRONTED THE VICTIM AND SHOT HIM SEVERAL TIMES WITH A HANDGUN.

ON OCTOBER 8, 1990, THE DEFENDANT - MARTIN CASTANEDA,

APPARENTLY HAD A PHYSICAL ALTERCATION WITH THE VICTIM'S BROTHER, JORGE

CARREON, OVER THE DEFENDANT'S EX-GIRLFRIEND. THE VICTIM, FIDEL CARREON,

INTERVENED AND BROKE UP THE ALTERCATION. HOWEVER, THE DEFENDANT, AS HE

WAS LEAVING THE LOCATION YELLED OUT, "VARRIO AZUSA" AND "I'LL BE BACK."

ON OCTOBER 9, 1990, AT ABOUT 8:41 P.M., THE DEFENDANT AND UNKNOWN COMPANIONS DROVE HIS VEHICLE TO THE VICTIM'S RESIDENCE LOCATED.

AT 500 NORTH VIRGINIA AVENUE IN THE CITY OF AZUSA. THEREAFTER, WITNESSES OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT AND HIS COMPANIONS TO LEAVE THE VEHICLE AND WALK UP TO THE VICTIM'S HOUSE. FINDING THE VICTIM OUTSIDE, THE DEFENDANT DISPLAYED A HANDGUN AND SHOT THE VICTIM FIVE TIMES IN THE CHEST, GROIN -2- (CASTANEDA)

AND RIGHT ARM AREAS. THE DEFENDANT AND HIS COMPANIONS THEN FLED THE LOCATION. AZUSA POLICE OFFICERS RESPONDED TO THE AREA AND RECEIVED INFORMATION IMPLICATING THE DEFENDANT AS THE SHOOTER. POLICE OFFICERS THEN WENT TO THE DEFENDANT'S RESIDENCE AND, AFTER RECEIVING CONSENT TO SEARCH HIS HOUSE AND VEHICLE, FOUND A .22-CALIBER SHELL. DEFENDANT WAS SUBSEQUENTLY ARRESTED AND TAKEN INTO CUSTODY. AFTER WAIVING HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, THE DEFENDANT ACKNOWLEDGED TO OFFICERS OF HAVING A FIGHT WITH THE VICTIM'S BROTHER THE NIGHT BEFORE AND GOING BACK TO THE LOCATION WITH A LOADED GUN. NOT FINDING THE VICTIM'S BROTHER, THE DEFENDANT ADMITTED SHOOTING THE VICTIM BECAUSE HE WAS STILL ANGRY.

-3- (CASTANEDA)

| | • | • | |
|----|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | VICTIM: | SOURCES OF INFORMATION (this | |
| 2 | | VICTIM'S MOTHER, | CRIME REPORT |
| | | | |
| 3 | FIDEL CARREON | COUNT(S) | OUNT I |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | INJURY: PROPERTY LOSS (TYPE/COST/ETC.) SEE BELOW | 1 | |
| | SEE BELOW | | |
| 6 | INSURANCE COVERAGE | | |
| 7 | UNKNOWN | | • |
| 8 | | | 1 |
| 9 | | RESTITUTION ALREADY MADE | APPLIED FOR VICTIM RESTITUTION FUND |
| • | VICTIM STATEMENT: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | MARIA CARREON THE VICTA |
| 0 | ACCORDING TO. | THE VICTIM'S MOTHER, | MARIA CARREON, THE VICTI |
| 1 | WAS IN THE HOSPITAL THREE DAY | YS AS A RESULT OF TH | HE SHOOTING. SHE INDICATE |
| 2 | THAT THE VICTIM SUFFERED GUN | SHOT WOUNDS TO HIS C | HEST, GROIN AND RIGHT ARM |
| 3 | WHICH REQUIRED SURGERY. MRS | . CARREON WENT ON TO | DESCRIBE HER SON AS |
| 4 | ALWAYS BEING UNDERSTANDING A | • | • |
| | • | | |
| 5 | THAT MEDICAL EXPENSES ARE UNI | • | |
| 6 | STATEMENT, THE VICTIM'S MOTH | ER INDICATED THAT SH | HE IS WORRIED ABOUT HER |
| 7 | SON AND HOPES THAT THE DEFENI | DANT WILL RECEIVE AN | N EXTENDED PERIOD OF |
| 8 | TIME IN CUSTODY FOR HIS ACT. | · | • . |
| 9 | | | |
| 20 | | | • • |
| | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | - | • | |
| 24 | | | • |
| 25 | TOTAL NUMBER OF VICTIMS I ES | TIMATED LOSS TO ALL VICTIMS | VICTIM(S) NOTIFIED OF P&S HEARING |
| | RESTITUTION ONE U | NDETERMINED | ☐ YES ☒ NO |
| 26 | DOES DEFENDANT HAVE INSURANCE TO COVER RESTITUTION: | INSURANCE COMPANY NAME/AI | DDRESS/TELEPHONE NO. |
| 27 | YES X NO | N. N | /A |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | | | VICTIM LIST CONTINUES NEXT DOOR |

PRIOR RECORD:

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (this page)

DEFENDANT;

CLETS (11-6-90); D.A. FILE, COURT RECORDS

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

AKA'S:

MARTIN CASTENEDA

MULTIPLE DATES OF BIRTH: MAY 20, 1962

JUVENILE HISTORY:

INFORMATION IS NOT AVAILABLE THROUGH PROBATION DEPARTMENT INQUIRY FIVE YEARS AFTER JUVENILE PROBATION ACTIVITY IS TERMINATED; AND THE DEFENDANT ADMITS NO RECORD.

ADULT HISTORY:

IT SHOULD BE NOTED TO THE PRESIDING COURT THAT THE DEFENDANT REFUSED TO PROVIDE THE PROBATION OFFICER WITH ANY INFORMATION IN REGARD TO HIS ADULT ARREST HISTORY. -AS A RESULT, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS PRIMARILY FROM HIS RAP SHEET.

GLENDORA PD - 496 PC (RECEIVING KNOWN STOLEN PROPERTY);
ON 4-20-82, WEST COVINA MUNICIPAL COURT, CASE NUMBER
M-216210, CONVICTED OF 496 PC: GRANTED 12 MONTHS PROBATION.

ARRESTING AGENCY UNKNOWN - 166(4) PC (CONTEMPT OF COURT; DISOBEY COURT ORDERS) - ON 6-26-87, CITRUS MUNICIPAL COURT, CASE NUMBER 87M04425 - CONVICTED AS CHARGED: GRANTED TWO YEARS SUMMARY PROBATION.

8-21-88

3-22-87

AZUSA PD - 12020(A) PC (POSSESS/SELL DANGEROUS WEAPON); ON 10-21-88, CITRUS MUNICIPAL COURT, CASE NUMBER 88M12192, CONVICTED OF 12020(A) PC: GRANTED ONE YEAR SUMMARY PROBATION WITH CONDITION TO PAY FINE OF \$235.

21

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

-5- (CASTANEDA)

| 1 | PERSONAL HISTORY: | S OF INFORMATION (this page) ENDANT |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | 2 | |
| 3 | SUBSTANCE ABUSE: | |
| 1 | | |
| 5 | XX Occasional social or experimental use of MARIJUA | ANA AND BEERacknowledged. |
| 3 | $\frac{XX}{X}$ See below: Indication / admission of significant substant | nce abuse problem. |
| , | Referred to Narcotic Evaluator 🔲 Yes 🖾 No | Narcotic Evaluator's report attached |
| 3 | | |
| , | Additional information WHEN INTERVIEWED BY | THE PROBATION OFFICER, THE DEFENDANT |
|) | , | |
| 1 | · | |
| | | |
| 2 | 2 | |
| 3 | 3 | |
| 1 | 1 | |
| 5 | 5 | • |
| 6 | 6 | |
| 7 | 7 | |
| 8 | | |
| | | |
| 9 | | |
| 0 | | |
| 1 | 1 | |
| 2 | 2 | |
| 23 | 23 | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | 25 | |
| 26 | PHYSICAL / MENTAL / EMOTIONAL HEALTH: | |
| | XX No indication or claim of significant physical/mental/e | emotional health problem. |
| | | |
| | | пентат/етнотіопаї пеатті ргоозені. |
| 29 | 29 | |

PERSONAL HISTORY: (CONTINUED)

8.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (this page)
DEFENDANT

RESIDENCE

HOUSE

11 MONTHS \$350

SELF

RESIDENTIAL STABILITY LAST FIVE YEARS
FAIR

RESIDENCE

BIRTH

RESIDES WITH/RELATIONSHIP
OCCUPANCY
STATE / FROM
BIRTH

BIRTH

Additional information DEFENDANT RELATES THAT HE IS THE FOURTH OF FIVE CHILDREN BORN TO THE MARITAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MANUEL AND PHYLLIS CASTANEDA. ACCORDING TO THE DEFENDANT, HE WAS BORN IN COVINA, CALIFORNIA AND WAS PRIMARILY RAISED BY HIS PARENTS IN THE CITY OF AZUSA.

| MARRIAGE / PARENTHOOD | MARITAL STATUS SEPARATED | NAME OF SPOUSE / PRESENT COHABITANT DEBBIE CASTANEDA |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| LENGTH OF UNION EIGHT YEARS | NO. OF CHILDREN THIS UNION FOUR | SUPPORTED BY DEFENDANT; AFDC |
| NO. PRIOR MARRIAGES / COHABITATIONS NONE | NO. OF CHILDREN THESE UNIONS NONE | SUPPORTED BY N/A |
| NO. OF OTHER CHILDREN NONE | SUPPORTED BY N/A | |

Additional information

DEFENDANT RELATES THAT HIS MARRIAGE TO HIS SPOUSE DEBBIE CASTANEDA ENDED IN SEPARATION IN FEBRUARY OF THIS YEAR AFTER EIGHT YEARS OF MARRIAGE. ACCORDING TO THE DEFENDANT, FOUR CHILDREN EVOLVED FROM THIS MARITAL RELATIONSHIP WHO RANGE IN AGES FROM TWO MONTHS TO AGE EIGHT, AND WHO ARE PRESENTLY SUPPORTED BY AFDC (AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN) PAYMENTS.

FORMAL EDUCATION:

DEFENDANT RELATES THAT HIS EDUCATION ENDED AT THE 11TH GRADE LEVEL WHILE ATTENDING SIERRA CONTINUATION HIGH SCHOOL. HE CLAIMS THAT JUST PRIOR TO HIS ARREST FOR THE ALLEGED OFFENSE, HE HAD RE-ENROLLED INTO AZUSA ADULT SCHOOL AND HAS 37 CREDITS LEFT IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE HIS

HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA.

-7- (CASTANEDA)

76P725B-Prob. 19SC (Rev. 6/85) 8/87

| 1 | | | L SOURCES OF INSORMA | TION (this | 2000 | | |
|---|---|-------------------|---|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | PERSONAL HISTORY: (CONTINUED) | | SOURCES OF INFORMATION (this page) DEFENDANT | | | | |
| : | | | | | | | |
| | EMPLOYMENT STATUS | EMPLOYED | REFERRED TO WORK FU | RLOUGH | EMPLOYER AWA | RE OF PRESEN | TOFFENSE |
| | · | M UNEMPLOYED | ☐ YES X NO | | XX N/A | GROSS MONT | □ NO |
| | MEXENTLAST EMPLOYER / A HOME DEPOT - COV (ENDING 10/89) | | ASSISTANT DEPARTMENT HEAD | TWO Y | EARS | \$900 | HLY WAGE |
| | | • | EMPLOYMENT STABILI | ΤΥ | TYPES OF PREV | VIOUS EMPLOY | MENT |
| | . VERIFIED | JNVERIFIED | UNSTABLE | | FORKLIFT D | RIVER; STO | CKER |
| | Additional information A | CCORDING TO | THE DEFENDANT, | PRIOR | TO HIS AF | RREST FOR | THE |
| | ALLEGED OFFENSE, | HE WAS WORK | ING IRREGULARLY | Y WITH | HIS BROTH | HER THROU | GH A |
| | CLEANING BUSINES | S. DEFENDAN | T RELATED ALSO | THAT | HE WAS WOR | RKING CDD | JOBS |
| | AND RECEIVED A M | ONTHLY ESTIM | ATED SALARY PER | RTAINI | NG TO BOTH | H EMPLOYM | ENTS OF |
| | \$1,100. | | - | | | | |
| | | | - | , | • | | |
| | FINANCIAL STATUS | INCOME STABILIT | | | nonthly incom , 100 | E | |
| | WAGES | SECONDAR' NONE | Y INCOME SOURCE(S) | 1 | TOTAL ASSETS | UNKNOV | L LIABILITIES /N |
| | MAJOR ASSETS / ESTIMATED | VALUE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | L | | | · |
| | NONE REPORTED | | | | | | |
| | | 9 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · | |
| | | | • | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | MAJOR LIABILITIES / ESTIMA | TED AMOUNT (MONTI | HLY) | | , | | |
| | NCNE REPORTED | | | | | · · | _ |
| | | | | | | | - |
| | | - | • | | | | ÷ |
| | Additional information | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | |
| | GANG ACTIVITY | YES NO | Name of G | ang | (SEE PAGE | NINE) | |
| | -0- (CASTANEDA) | | | | | | |

76P725B-Prob. 19SC (Rev. 6/85) 8/87

GANG ACTIVITY:

WHEN INTERVIEWED BY THE PROBATION OFFICER, THE DEFENDANT DENIED ANY TYPE OF GANG AFFILIATION. HOWEVER, ACCORDING TO INFORMATION FROM THE AZUSA POLICE DEPARTMENT, THE DEFENDANT APPARENTLY HAS SOME SLIGHT TIES WITH THE "AZUSA 13" GANG.

DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT:

THE DEFENDANT, UPON RECEIVING ADVICE FROM HIS ATTORNEY,
DECLINED TO MAKE ANY STATEMENTS PERTAINING TO THE ALLEGED OFFENSE.
HOWEVER, THE DEFENDANT DID INDICATE THAT HIS FUTURE PLANS CONSIST OF
GETTING HIS PRIORITIES TOGETHER, REUNITING WITH HIS FAMILY AND MAKING
UP FOR LOST TIME AWAY FROM HIS CHILDREN.

INTERESTED PARTIES:

THE PROBATION OFFICER WAS ABLE TO OBTAIN INFORMATION FROM DETECTIVE ARNOLD OF THE AZUSA POLICE DEPARTMENT (818/334-2943), WHO ASSISTED IN INVESTIGATING THIS MATTER AND PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS. ACCORDING TO DETECTIVE ARNOLD, THROUGHOUT THE INTERVIEW OF THE DEFENDANT, HE SHOWED NO REGRET OR REMORSE FOR HIS ACTIONS. THE DEFENDANT RELATED TO THE DETECTIVES THAT HE WOULD DO THE SAME THING UNDER SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCES, EXCEPT THAT HE WOULD ONLY USE A BIGGER GUN THE NEXT TIME. DETECTIVE ARNOLD WENT ON TO SAY THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS SOME SLIGHT APPARENT GANG-RELATED TIES WITH THE "AZUSA-13" GANG. IN HIS CLOSING STATEMENTS, DETECTIVE ARNOLD EXPRESSED HIS PERSONAL FEELING THAT THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS WARRANT LONG-TERM CUSTODY

-9- (CASTANEDA)

COMMITMENT.

EVALUATION:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE PRIMARY CAUSAL FACTOR INVOLVED IN THE ALLEGED OFFENSE APPEARS TO CENTER AROUND THE DEFENDANT HAVING AN ALTERCATION WITH THE VICTIM'S BROTHER OVER AN EX-GIRLFRIEND. THE DEFENDANT. APPARENTLY EMOTIONALLY UPSET, VOWED TO RETURN TO THE VICTIM'S RESIDENCE IN ORDER TO RETALIATE AND DID SO, SHOOTING THE VICTIM FIVE TIMES WITH A HANDGUN. IT IS QUITE NOTEWORTHY THAT THE DEFENDANT, AFTER THIS SHOOTING INCIDENT, INDICATED TO THE INVESTIGATING DETECTIVES THAT HE WOULD PERFORM THE ACT AGAIN GIVEN THE SAME CIRCUMSTANCES, ONLY USE A BIGGER GUN. THIS CLEARLY SHOWS THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS NO REMORSE OR CONCERN FOR THE VICTIM NOR IS DISPLAYED BEHAVIOR. EVEN THOUGH THE DEFENDANT'S ARREST HISTORY IS MINIMAL, HIS PERSONAL HISTORY SUGGESTS THAT HE IS NOT A RESPONSIBLE ASPECT WITHIN THE IMMEDIATE COMMUNITY. OVERALL, DEFENDANT'S BEHAVIOR IN THE PRESENT OFFENSE WOULD INDICATE THAT HE IS AN EXTREME DANGER TO THE LIVES OF OTHERS. BASED ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES INVOLVED IN THE ALLEGED OFFENSE, THE DEFENDANT IS DEFINITELY NOT A SUITABLE CANDIDATE FOR PROBATION SUPERVISION. THUS, THE ONLY SUITABLE DISPOSITION IN THIS MATTER WOULD BE FOR THE DEFENDANT TO BE COMMITTED TO STATE PRISON.

SENTENCING CONSIDERATIONS:

IF CONVICTED OF THE CRIMINAL CHARGE, THE DEFENDANT IS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR PROBATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 1203.06 OF THE PENAL -10- (CASTANEDA)

CODE.

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CIRCUMSTANCES IN AGGRAVATION:

- 1. THE PLANNING, SOPHISTICATION OR PROCESSIONALISM WITH WHICH THE CRIME WAS CARRIED OUT, OR OTHER FACTS, INDICATES PREMEDITATION.
- 2. THE DEFENDANT HAS ENGAGED IN CONDUCT WITH INDICATES THAT HE IS A SERIOUS DANGER TO SOCIETY.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION:

THERE ARE NO CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION.

IF CONVICTED AND SENTENCED TO STATE PRISON, THE FACTS IN AGGRAVATION WARRANT THE IMPOSITION OF THE MID BASE TERM.

RECOMMENDATION:

SHOULD THE DEFENDANT BE CONVICTED OF THE CRIMINAL CHARGE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT PROBATION BE DENIED AND THAT THE DEFENDANT BE SENTENCED TO STATE PRISON WITH PRE-IMPRISONMENT CREDIT; THAT THE COURT ORDER THE DEFENDANT TO PAY A RESTITUTION FINE OF \$100 AS PROVIDED BY SUBDIVISION (A) OF SECTION 13967 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE,

-11- (CASTANEDA)

PROBATION OFFICER

2

THE TOTAL AMOUNT TO INCLUDE A SERVICE CHARGE AS PROVIDED BY SUBDIVISION

(D) OF SECTION 13967 OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

BARRY J. NIDORF,

JOHN CRYER, DEPUTY
POMONA VALLEY AREA OFFICE
520-3165

READ AND APPROVED:

I HAVE READ AND CONSIDERED THE FOREGOING REPORT OF THE . PROBATION OFFICER.

KENNETH LE MOND, SDPO

(SUBMITTED 11-8-90) (TYPED 11-13-90) UC:WT (6) JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

IF PROBATION IS GRANTED, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT
THE COURT DETERMINES DEFENDANT'S ABILITY TO PAY COST OF PROBATION
SERVICES PURSUANT TO SECTION 1203.1B PENAL CODE. COST OF PRE-SENTENCE
INVESTIGATION AND PRE-SENTENCE REPORT - \$412.00. COST OF SUPERVISION \$28.00 PER MONTH.

-12- (CASTANEDA)